BEFORE THE STRUCTURAL PEST CONTROL BOARD DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Tn	tha	Mattan	~£	41	Statement of Issue	_
111	uic	maner	OΙ	uic	Statement of Issue	s Againsi:

Case No. 2016-18

JAMES BERND MARTY AGPALASIN,

Respondent.

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted by the Structural Pest Control Board, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This Decision shall become effective on May 4, 2016

IT IS SO ORDERED April 4, 2016

FOR THE STRUCTURAL PEST CONTROL BOARD DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS

1	Kamala D. Harris							
2	Attorney General of California JOSHUA A. ROOM							
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General ROSAILDA PEREZ							
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 284646							
5	455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004							
_	Telephone: (415) 703-1618							
6	Facsimile: (415) 703-5480 Attorneys for Complainant							
7	BEFORE THE							
8	STRUCTURAL PEST CONTROL BOARD DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS							
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA							
10	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against: Case No. 2016-18							
11								
12	DISCIPLINARY ORDER							
13	Respondent.							
14								
15	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-							
16	entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:							
17	<u>PARTIES</u>							
18	1. Susan Saylor ("Complainant") is the Registrar/Executive Officer of the Structural							
19	Pest Control Board (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs. She brought this action solely in							
20	her official capacity and is represented in this matter by Kamala D. Harris, Attorney General of							
21	the State of California, by Rosailda Perez, Deputy Attorney General.							
	2. Respondent James Bernd Marty Agpalasin ("Respondent") is representing himself in							
22	this proceeding and has chosen not to exercise his right to be represented by counsel.							
23	3. On or about April 30, 2015, the Board received an application for an Applicator's							
24	License from Respondent. On or about April 28, 2015, Respondent certified under penalty of							
25	perjury to the truthfulness of all statements, answers, and representations in the application. The							
26	Board denied the application on May 13, 2015.							
27	///							
20								

JURISDICTION

- 4. Statement of Issues No. 2016-18 was filed before the Board and is currently pending against Respondent. The Statement of Issues and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on September 25, 2015.
- 5. A copy of Statement of Issues No. 2016-18 is attached as exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 6. Respondent has carefully read and understands the charges and allegations in Statement of Issues No. 2016-18. Respondent has also carefully read and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 7. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Statement of Issues; the right to be represented by counsel at his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 8. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

- 9. Respondent admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation in Statement of Issues No. 2016-18.
- 10. Respondent agrees that his Applicator's License is subject to denial and he agrees to be bound by the Board's probationary terms as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

CONTINGENCY

11. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Structural Pest Control Board.

Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Structural Pest Control Board may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and

.

2.7

settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.

- 12. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 13. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.
- 14. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that an Applicator's License will be issued to Respondent James Bernd Marty Agpalasin and immediately revoked. The revocation will be stayed and the Respondent placed on three (3) years probation on the following terms and conditions.

- 1. Obey All Laws. Respondent shall obey all Federal, State, and Local laws and all laws and rules relating to the practice of structural pest control.
- 2. Quarterly Reports. Respondent shall file quarterly reports with the Board during the period of probation.
 - 3. Tolling of Probation. Should Respondent leave California to reside outside this

.

28 -

///

state, Respondent must notify the Board in writing of the dates of departure and return. Periods of residency or practice outside the state shall not apply to reduction of the probationary period.

4. Notice to Employers. Respondent shall notify all present and prospective employers of the decision in Case No. 2016-18 and the terms, conditions and restriction imposed on Respondent by said decision.

Within 30 days of the effective date of this decision, and within 15 days of Respondent undertaking new employment, Respondent shall cause his/her employer to report to the Board in writing acknowledging the employer has read the decision in Case No. 2016-18.

- 5. Notice to Employees. Respondent shall, upon or before the effective date of this decision, post or circulate a notice to all employees involved in structural pest control operations which accurately recite the terms and conditions of probation. Respondent shall be responsible for said notice being immediately available to said employees. "Employees" as used in this provision includes all full-time, part-time, temporary and relief employees and independent contractors employed or hired at any time during probation.
- 6. Completion of Probation. Upon successful completion of probation, Respondent's license/certificate will be fully restored.
- 7. Violation of Probation. Should Respondent violate probation in any respect, the Board, after giving Respondent notice and an opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order which was stayed. If a petition to revoke probation is filed against Respondent during probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.
- 8. Prohibited from Serving as Officer, Director, Associate, Partner or Qualifying Manager. Respondent is prohibited from serving as an officer, director, associate, partner, qualifying manager or branch office manager of any registered company during the period that discipline is imposed on his Applicator's License.
- 9. No Interest In Any Registered Company. Respondent shall not have any legal or beneficial interest in any company currently or hereinafter registered by the Board.

ACCEPTANCE.

I have carefully read the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Applicator's License. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Structural Pest Control Board.

DATED: 10/10/15

JAMÉS BÉRNIN MARTY AGPALASIN Respondent

ENDORSEMENT

The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Structural Pest Control Board.

Dated: 2/2/14

Respectfully submitted,

KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California JOSHUA A. ROOM Supervising Deputy Attorney General

ROSAILDA PEREZ
Deputy Attorney General
Attorneys for Complainant

SF2015401880 41426434.doc

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18.

19

20

21

22

23.

25

26

27

28

Exhibit A

Statement of Issues No. 2016-18

ľ									
1 2 3 4 5 6	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California JOSHUA A. ROOM Supervising Deputy Attorney General ROSAILDA PEREZ Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 284646 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 Telephone: (415) 703-1404 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480 Attorneys for Complainant	一							
8	BEFORE THE								
9	STRUCTURAL PEST CONTROL BOARD DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA								
10									
11	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against: Case No. 2016-18								
12	JAMES BERND MARTY AGPALASIN								
13	Respondent. STATEMENT OF ISSUES								
14									
15	Complainant alleges:								
16	<u>PARTIES</u>								
17	1. Susan Saylor (Complainant) brings this Statement of Issues solely in her official								
18	capacity as the Registrar/Executive Officer of the Structural Pest Control Board (Board),								
19	Department of Consumer Affairs.								
20	2. On or about April 30, 2015, the Board received an application for an Applicator's								
21	License from James Bernd Marty Agpalasin (Respondent). On or about April 28, 2015,								
22	Respondent certified under penalty of perjury to the truthfulness of all statements, answers, and								
23	representations in the application. The Board denied the application on May 13, 2015.								
24	<u>JURISDICTION</u>								
25	3. This Statement of Issues is brought before the Board under the authority of the								
26	following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless								
27	otherwise indicated.								
28									

STATUTORY AND REGULATORY PROVISIONS

- 4. Code section 480 states:
- (a) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the grounds that the applicant has one of the following:
- (1) Been convicted of a crime. A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4, 1203.4a, or 1203.41 of the Penal Code.
- (2) Done any act involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself or herself or another, or substantially injure another.
- (3)(A) Done any act that if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.
- (B) The board may deny a license pursuant to this subdivision only if the crime or act is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which application is made.
- (b) Notwithstanding any other provision of this code, a person shall not be denied a license solely on the basis that he or she has been convicted of a felony if he or she has obtained a certificate of rehabilitation under Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section 4852.01) of Title 6 of Part 3 of the Penal Code or that he or she has been convicted of a misdemeanor if he or she has met all applicable requirements of the criteria of rehabilitation developed by the board to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when considering the denial of a license under subdivision (a) of Section 482.
- (c) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this code, a person shall not be denied a license solely on the basis of a conviction that has been dismissed pursuant to Section 1203.4, 1203.4a, or 1203.41 of the Penal Code. An applicant who has a conviction that has been dismissed pursuant to Section 1203.4, 1203.4a, or 1203.41 of the Penal Code shall provide proof of the dismissal.

(d) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the ground that the applicant knowingly made a false statement of fact that is required to be revealed in the application for the license.

5. Code section 8568 states:

After a hearing the board may deny a license or a company registration unless the applicant makes a showing satisfactory to the board that the applicant, if an individual, has not, or if the applicant is a company applying for a company registration, that its manager and each of its officers, directors, employees, members and partners have not:

(a) Committed any act or crime constituting grounds for denial of licensure under Section 480.

6. Section 8623 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

(a) Notwithstanding Section 8620 or any other provision of law, the board may revoke, suspend, or deny at any time a license under this chapter on any of the grounds for disciplinary action provided in this chapter. The proceedings under this section shall be conducted in accordance with Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code, and the board shall have all the powers granted therein.

(b) The board may deny a license to an applicant on any of the grounds specified in Section 480.

7. Code section **8649** states:

Conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a structural pest control operator, field representative, applicator, or registered company is a ground for disciplinary action. The certified record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence thereof.

8. Code section 8654 states:

Any individual who has been denied a license for any of the reasons specified in Section 8568, or who has had his or her license revoked, or whose license is under suspension, or who has failed to renew his or her license while it was under suspension, or who has been a member,

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

2.8

1

partnership, corporation, firm, or association whose application for a company registration has been denied for any of the reasons specified in Section 8568, or whose company registration has been revoked as a result of disciplinary action, or whose company registration is under suspension, and while acting as such member, officer, director, associate, qualifying manager, or responsible managing employee had knowledge of or participated in any of the prohibited acts for which the license or registration was denied, suspended or revoked, shall be prohibited from serving as an officer, director, associate, partner, qualifying manager, or responsible managing employee of a registered company, and the employment, election or association of such person by a registered company is a ground for disciplinary action.

officer, director, associate, qualifying manager, or responsible managing employee of any

9. Code section 8655 states:

A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere made to a charge substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a structural pest control operator, field representative, applicator, or registered company is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this article or Section 8568 of this chapter. The board may order the license or registration suspended or revoked, or may decline to issue a license, when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the individual or registered company to withdraw a plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information or indictment.

10. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1937.1, states:

For the purposes of denial, suspension or revocation of a license or company registration pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the code, a crime or act shall be considered to be substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registered company under Chapter 14 of Division 3 of the code if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of such licensee or registered company to perform the functions authorized by the license or company registration in a manner consistent with the public

FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Criminal Convictions)

- 12. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code section(s) 480(a)(1) and/or 480(a)(3)(A), and/or 8568(a), and/or 8623(a), and/or 8623(b), and/or 8649, and/or 8655, in that Respondent has been convicted of a crime or crimes substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of an applicator. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. On or about March 14, 2006, in Napa Superior Court case number CR127177,

 Respondent was convicted of having violated California Penal Code section 460(b) (burglary).

 The conviction was based on an incident which occurred on or about December 27, 2005, during which Respondent entered a Safeway store with the intent to commit theft, and did commit theft.
- b. On or about May 22, 2012, in Alameda Superior Court case number 139790-9, Respondent was convicted of having violated California Penal Code section 487 (grand theft). The conviction was based on a course of conduct which occurred in or about December 2011 through January 2012, during which Respondent embezzled over \$950.00 from his employer, Petsmart.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(False Statement in Application)

- 13. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code section(s) 480(a)(3)(A), and/or 480(d), and/or 8568(a), and/or 8623(a), and/or 8623(b), in that Respondent knowingly made a false statement of fact in his application. The circumstances are as follows:
- 14. In his application, Respondent answered "yes" to question 14, which queried whether Respondent had ever been convicted of a crime. Respondent submitted a detailed statement as required. However, Respondent omitted any mention, in that statement, regarding his 2006 burglary conviction.

OTHER MATTERS

15. Pursuant to Code section 8654, if discipline is imposed on Applicator's License, then Respondent shall be prohibited from serving as an officer, director, associate, partner, qualifying manager, or responsible managing employee for any registered company during the time the

- 1									
1	discipline is imposed, and any registered company which employs, elects, or associates him, shall								
2	be subject to disciplinary action.								
3	PRAYER								
4	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,								
5	and that following the hearing, the Structural Pest Control Board issue a decision:								
6	1. Denying the application of James Bernd Marty Agpalasin for an Applicator's License;								
7	2. Prohibiting James Bernd Marty Agpalasin from serving as an officer, director,								
8	associate, partner, qualifying manager, or responsible managing employee of any registered								
9	company;								
10	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.								
11									
12									
13	DATED: 9/15/15 SUSAN SAYLOR								
14	Registrar/Executive Officer Structural Pest Control Board								
15	Department of Consumer Affairs State of California								
16	Complainant								
17									
18									
19									
20									
21 22									
23									
24									
25									
26									
27									
28									
~ ~									